

Two Pathways for Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Hydrogen by a Nickel Bis(diphosphine) Complex with Pendant Amines in the Second Coordination Sphere

Jenny Y. Yang,^{*,§,†} Stuart E. Smith,[†] Tianbiao Liu,[†] William G. Dougherty,[‡] Wesley A. Hoffert,[†] W. Scott Kassel,[‡] M. Rakowski DuBois,[†] Daniel L. DuBois,[†] and R. Morris Bullock^{*,†}

[†]Center for Molecular Electrocatalysis, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, K2-57, Richland, Washington 99352, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Villanova University, Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A nickel bis(diphosphine) complex containing pendant amines in the second coordination sphere, [Ni- $(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2$](BF₄)₂ ($P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2 = 1,5$ -di(*tert*-butyl)-3,7-dicy-clohexyl-1,5-diaza-3,7-diphosphacyclooctane), is an electro-catalyst for hydrogen oxidation. The addition of hydrogen to the Ni^{II} complex gives three isomers of the doubly protonated Ni⁰ complex [Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2H$)₂](BF₄)₂. Using the pK_a values and Ni^{II/ $\overline{1}$} and Ni^{I/ $\overline{0}$} redox potentials in a thermochemical cycle, the free energy of hydrogen addition to [Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2$)₂]²⁺ was determined to be -7.9 kcal mol⁻¹. The catalytic rate observed in dry acetonitrile for the oxidation of H₂ depends on base size, with larger bases (NEt₃, *t*-BuNH₂) resulting in much slower catalysis than *n*-BuNH₂. The addition of water



accelerates the rate of catalysis by facilitating deprotonation of the hydrogen addition product before oxidation, especially for the larger bases NEt₃ and *t*-BuNH₂. This catalytic pathway, where deprotonation occurs prior to oxidation, leads to an overpotential that is 0.38 V lower compared to the pathway where oxidation precedes proton movement. Under the optimal conditions of 1.0 atm H₂ using *n*-BuNH₂ as a base and with added water, a turnover frequency of 58 s⁻¹ is observed at 23 °C.

INTRODUCTION

The widespread use of renewable energy will require improved methods of energy storage because of the intermittent nature of energy production from solar and wind power.¹ Chemical fuels such as hydrogen are ideal because of their high energy density by weight, but electrocatalysts are necessary for both hydrogen production by proton reduction and subsequent oxidation of hydrogen in a fuel cell. Currently, the most efficient and fastest catalyst for hydrogen oxidation is platinum, but its high cost and low abundance limit its general use.²⁻⁵ The utility of hydrogen as a chemical fuel and as a reductant to generate other chemical fuels has generated a great deal of research interest in catalyst discovery for hydrogen production. Many molecular catalysts for hydrogen production have been discovered using abundant, inexpensive metals such as nickel, $^{6-16}$ cobalt, $^{17-25,59}$ iron, $^{26-33}$ and molybdenum. 34,35 In contrast, there have been few reported homogeneous catalysts for the reverse reaction, oxidation of hydrogen, which is necessary for efficient fuel utilization.³⁶⁻⁵²

Hydrogenase enzymes catalyze both the production and oxidation of hydrogen at high rates and efficiency using active sites composed of the abundant metals iron and nickel.^{53,54} The [FeFe] hydrogenase enzyme incorporates a pendant amine in

the active site that is believed to be properly positioned to facilitate formation and cleavage of the H–H bond. We have reported a series of catalysts based on a nickel bis(diphosphine) framework $[Ni(P_2^RN_2^{R'})_2]^{2+}$, where $P_2^RN_2^{R'}$ is an eightmembered diphosphine ligand with two pendant amines, and R and R' denote the substituents on the phosphorus and nitrogen, respectively.^{55–58} The noncoordinating tertiary amines are positioned to stabilize binding of H₂, serve as a proton acceptor to facilitate the heterolytic cleavage of H₂, and mediate proton-coupled electron transfer steps in the catalytic cycle. The complex $[Ni(P_2^{Cy}N^{t-Bu})_2](BF_4)_2$ was recently reported to electrocatalytically oxidize hydrogen with a high turnover frequency (TOF).⁵⁰

In this work we report detailed studies of this catalyst that reveal two separate catalytic pathways that differ by 0.38 V in overpotential. This difference can be traced to the sequence of proton movement and electron transfer in the catalytic cycle. In addition, we now report the effect of water in accelerating the catalytic rate for hydrogen oxidation, and we attribute this acceleration as kinetically favoring the pathway in which

Received: January 29, 2013 Published: April 30, 2013



deprotonation occurs prior to oxidation. Thus, the addition of water results in both a reduction in overpotential and a higher catalytic rate. Also, the free energy of heterolytic cleavage of H₂ by $[Ni(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t\cdot Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4})_{2}$ has been calculated from the experimentally determined hydride and proton acceptor energies, as well as electrochemical data. From this thermochemical data and previously reported data, we have determined the effect of varying the functional groups of the ligand on the thermodynamic properties of the nickel complex, and the relationship between driving force for hydrogen addition and catalytic rate. These detailed studies provide mechanistic insights into the role of managing thermodynamic properties and proton movement in significantly reducing the overpotential while increasing the rate in hydrogen oxidation electrocatalysis

RESULTS

Synthesis and Characterization of Ni⁰(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂ and [Ni¹(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂](BF₄). The Ni⁰ complex Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂ was prepared by reaction of Ni(COD)₂ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) with 2 equiv of the ligand P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂ (1,5-di-(*tert*butyl)-3,7-dicyclohexyl-1,5-diaza-3,7-diphosphacyclooctane)⁵⁰ under nitrogen at -40 °C in tetrahydrofuran. The yellow airsensitive powder was isolated and characterized by ³¹P and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum in C₆D₆ exhibits a singlet at 7.41 ppm, typical of tetrahedral (diphosphine)₂Ni⁰ complexes.^{12,18,60}

Crystals of Ni $(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$ were grown by evaporation of a pentane solution, and the structure was confirmed by a single crystal X-ray diffraction study. The structure is shown in Figure 1, and selected bond distances and angles are shown in Table 1. The complex has a distorted tetrahedral geometry with all four Ni–P bond distances nearly equal at 2.13–2.14 Å. The P–Ni–P bond angles for the diphosphine ligand are about 84°, which is significantly smaller than the 109° expected for an ideal tetrahedron. The smaller bite angle observed for the $P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$



Figure 1. The structure of $Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 80% probability level. For clarity, only the carbons of the cyclohexyl rings directly bound to the phosphorus atoms are shown.

Table 1. Selected Bond Distances ((Å) and Angles (°) for
$Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$ and $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2P_1)_2]$	H)](BF ₄) ₂ , Isomer B

	$Ni(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}$	isomer B
Ni-P1	2.1417(4)	2.1394(10)
Ni-P3	2.1436(4)	2.1418(9)
Ni…N1	3.399	3.870
Ni…N2	3.834	3.821
Ni…N3	3.483	3.307
Ni…N4	3.830	3.828
P1-Ni-P2	83.897(15)	85.08(4)
P3-Ni-P4	85.75(3)	85.75(3)

ligand is attributed to constraints imposed by the two sixmembered chelate rings in the backbone of the cyclic ligand. Two of the six-membered rings have boat conformations, directing the pendant base toward the metal center (Ni…N distances are 3.399 and 3.483 Å), and the other two are in a chair form (both Ni…N distances are 3.83 Å). The dihedral angle between the two planes formed by the two phosphorus atoms of each diphosphine ligand and the Ni atom is 84.66°, close to the 90° angle expected for a tetrahedral complex. We previously reported an alternate preparation by reduction of the corresponding Ni^{II} complex with hydrogen in the presence of a base;⁵⁰ the yield and purity of the complexes prepared by the two methods are comparable.

The Ni^I complex $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)$ was synthesized by reaction of the corresponding Ni^{II} and Ni⁰ complexes.⁵⁰ The $E_{1/2}$ of the Ni^{II/I} and Ni^{I/0} couples for the complex are separated by 0.65 V. This large potential difference leads to clean and quantitative comproportionation of the Ni^{II} and Ni⁰ complexes to generate the orange air-sensitive Ni^I complex. The magnetic moment was measured using the Evans method.⁶¹⁻⁶³ In an acetonitrile solution, μ_{eff} for $[\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{Cy}}_{2}\mathrm{N}^{t\text{-Bu}}_{2})_2](\mathrm{BF}_4)$ is 1.90 μ_{B} at 293 K. This value is close to the expected spin-only value for a S = 1/2 Ni^I center, assuming g = 2.0 (0.375 emu·K·mol⁻¹). However, late transition metal ions like Niⁿ⁺ often exhibit g-values slightly larger than 2.0 because of spin-orbit coupling, so the measured susceptibility value is consistent with a S = 1/2 Ni^I ion. The cyclic voltammogram of the complex in acetonitrile displays two reversible one-electron couples that match the half-wave potentials for the Ni^{II/I} and Ni^{I/0} couples for the corresponding Ni^{II} complex (at -0.77 and -1.42 V, respectively, versus the $Cp_2Fe^{+/0}$ couple).

Products of the Addition of Hydrogen to [Ni-($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂](BF₄)₂. Addition of dry hydrogen to a solution of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ in anhydrous CD₃CN leads to a rapid reaction at room temperature, forming the doubly protonated Ni⁰ complex $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2H)_2](BF_4)_2$. The reaction goes to completion as observed by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectroscopy. Protonation of the pendant amines can occur endo or exo to the metal, as shown in Scheme 1, leading to three isomers. Previous studies on the three closely related isomers of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2H)_2]^{2+}$ (with benzyl (Bn) on nitrogen) have established their structures by ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{31}P$, and ${}^{2}H$ NMR spectroscopy.

The first isomer formed after heterolytic cleavage of hydrogen by $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ is the endo–endo isomer, **A**, which is observed in the ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum at 14.7 ppm as the sole product. The broad singlet resonance is consistent with a tetrahedral Ni⁰ complex with a protonated amine in each cyclic ligand. Isomer **B** is observed in the ${}^{31}P\{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR





Figure 2. Two views of the structure of the cation of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2H)_2](BF_4)_2$, isomer **B**. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. For clarity, only the carbons of the cyclohexyl rings directly bound to the phosphorus atoms are shown. Hydrogens are not shown.

spectrum after an hour and continues to increase over several hours. Isomer B exhibits two broad singlets of equal intensity at 13.3 and -11.9 ppm in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. The two resonances are consistent with two inequivalent ligands, and are expected because of the different protonation sites of each ligand. One ligand is protonated on the side of the amine toward the metal (endo), and the other ligand is protonated on the opposite side (exo), forming a N-H-N "pinched" hydrogen bond between the two amines on the same ligand. In a tetrahedral coordination, the two phosphorus atoms on the ligand protonated exo would be expected to show inequivalence due to the endo protonation of the other ligand. However, we have observed rapid intramolecular proton transfer at room temperature of the endo proton to the other nitrogen on the same ligand.⁶⁴ This leads to the single broad resonance observed for the exoprotonated ligand at room temperature. The population of isomer B increases relative to isomer A over the next few hours, becoming about 60% after 6 h. Over the 13 h that the solution was monitored, isomer C slowly appeared in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum as a singlet at -10.53 ppm. A singlet is consistent with a tetrahedral complex that is protonated in an exo position on both diphosphine ligands, as each phosphorus atom is equivalent. The concentration of isomer C by integration of the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum is small (4% after 13 h) but increases over time. After 13 h, the concentration of isomer C was still increasing, while the concentration of isomer A and B were still declining. These three isomers are similar to those observed previously for the analogous nickel complex with benzyl substituents on the

nitrogen, which display similar resonances in the $^{31}\mathrm{P}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum. 64

When the same experiment is performed in the presence of 10 equiv of water with respect to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$, an equilibrium distribution of isomers **A**, **B**, and **C** is attained much more rapidly. Isomer **B** is observed within the first few minutes of hydrogen addition. The amount of isomer **A**, initially the predominant isomer, rapidly decreases in concentration concomitant with an increase in isomer **C**. The solution reaches equilibrium after about 5 h. The equilibrium concentration of the isomers was determined by integration of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, and is 8% **A**, 16% **B**, and 73% **C**.

The addition of hydrogen to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ at low temperature was examined by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy to investigate the possibility of observable intermediates (e.g., a Ni^{II} dihydrogen complex)^{65,66} before the formation of isomer **A**. Hydrogen was bubbled through a solution of $[Ni-(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ in *n*-butyronitrile cooled to -112 °C. The sample was then inserted into an NMR probe precooled to -100 °C, and a ³¹P{¹H}</sup> NMR spectrum was collected. The only resonances observed corresponded to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ and isomer **A**. As the temperature was warmed to room temperature, the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum showed complete conversion of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ to isomer **A**, thus giving no experimental evidence for intermediacy of the dihydrogen complex $[Ni(H_2)(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$.

Crystals of isomer **B** were grown from diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ that had been purged with hydrogen and cooled to -45 °C. The

structure of isomer B is shown in Figure 2. Although the protons were not located, the orientations of the six-membered rings formed by the ligand and metal support the structure suggested by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy and shown in Scheme 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 1. The complex has a distorted tetrahedral geometry with all four Ni-P bond distances nearly equal at 2.14-2.15 Å, similar to the previously examined Ni⁰ complexes in our laboratory, which have bond distances ranging from 2.11 to 2.15 Å.^{18,48,60} The P-Ni-P bond angles for the two diphosphine ligands are 85.75° and 85.01°, slightly larger than those observed for $Ni(P_{2}^{Cy}N_{2}^{t-Bu})_{2}$. The ligand that is protonated in the "pinched" exo position has both rings in the chair conformation. With the two lone pairs directed toward each other, the distance between the two nitrogens is 2.69 Å, consistent with a N-H-N hydrogen bond. These observations are only expected with a proton interacting with both N atoms of the "pinched" ligand. The P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂ ligand protonated in the endo position has one six-membered ring in the chair conformation and the other six-membered ring in the boat conformation. The distance between the protonated nitrogen and the metal is 3.31 Å, significantly shorter than the Ni…N distances of 3.40 and 3.48 Å observed for Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂. This is again consistent with a hydrogen bond between the proton on N3 and the Ni⁰.

When crystals were grown using the same solvents, but at room temperature, isomer C was obtained. The crystals cracked under the cold stream during single crystal X-ray analysis, but a structure was obtained from the data collected. All four of the six-membered rings on the ligand are in chair conformations, which led to our assignment of this structure as isomer C. The structure is reported in the Supporting Information. The complex also adopts a distorted tetrahedral structure with all four Ni-P bond distances at 2.13 Å. It is interesting that protonation of the ligands on isomers B and C do not result in a significant shortening of the Ni–P bond compared to the Ni⁰ complex $Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$, since as a result of the protonation, the ligand becomes cationic. The P-Ni-P bond angles for the diphosphine ligands are 86.07° , again slightly larger than those observed for $Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$. The protonated ligands adopt "pinched" exo positions with an average distance between the two disordered nitrogen atoms of 2.63 Å, consistent with NH…N hydrogen bonds.

Protonation of Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂. Buffered solutions consisting of a mixture of the base 7-methyl-1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (MTBD) and its protonated form, [H-MTBD]⁺BPh₄⁻, were added to Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂ in benzonitrile (Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂ is not soluble in acetonitrile). Under these conditions, an equilibrium is observed (eq 1) for protonation of



Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂ to give the nickel hydride complex [NiH- $(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$]⁺. The two species are observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at 13.0 and 7.3 ppm, respectively; no other resonances are observed. Most of the ¹H NMR spectrum of this solution was obscured by the protons of the benzonitrile solvent, but a broad resonance observed at -13.5 ppm is attributed to the hydride resonance of $[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^+$. The ratios of these two complexes were measured by integration of the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, and an equilibrium constant of $K_{eq} = 9$ (±6) was calculated from this data. This equilibrium constant and a pK_a value of 25.5 for [H-MTBD]BF₄ in acetonitrile⁶⁷ were used to determine a pK_{a1} value of 24.6 (±0.3) for $[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^+$ (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Protonation Equilibria for $Ni(P_2^{Cy}N_2^{t-Bu})_2$ and $[NiH(P_2^{Cy}N_2^{t-Bu})_2]^{+a}$

$$[\text{Ni}(\text{P}^{\text{Cy}}_{2}\text{N}^{t-\text{Bu}}_{2})_{2}]^{2+} \underbrace{H_{2}}_{\text{isomers A, B, and C}} [\text{Ni}(\text{P}^{\text{Cy}}_{2}\text{N}^{t-\text{Bu}}_{2}\text{H})_{2}]^{2+}$$
isomers A, B, and C
(structures in Scheme 1)
$$H^{+} pK_{a2}$$

$$\text{Ni}(\text{P}^{\text{Cy}}_{2}\text{N}^{t-\text{Bu}}_{2})_{2} \underbrace{H^{+}}_{pK_{a1}} [\text{Ni}\text{H}(\text{P}^{\text{Cy}}_{2}\text{N}^{t-\text{Bu}}_{2})_{2}]^{+}$$

^{*a*}The structures of isomers A_{i} , B_{j} , and C are shown in Scheme 1.

Previous studies have shown that relative pK_a values for similar complexes in acetonitrile and benzonitrile are the same within experimental error.⁶⁸ As a cross check, the same experiments were repeated using a buffered solution of 1,8-diazabicyclo-[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) and its protonated analogue [H-DBU]BF₄. With this buffer, and using a pK_a of 24.34 for [H-DBU]BF₄ in acetonitrile,⁶⁷ a pK_{a1} value of 24.7 was determined for $[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^+$ in good agreement with the value obtained using MTBD/[H-MTBD]⁺.

The acidity of hydrogen addition products $(pK_{a2}$ in Scheme 2), A-C, were measured by the addition of hydrogen to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ in acetonitrile, followed by addition of the base 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) to give an equilibrium between the three isomers and the nickel hydride. The concentrations of the Ni complexes were determined by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy, and the concentrations of the protonated and unprotonated DMAP were measured by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The chemical shift of the DMAP resonances is a weighted average of the values for the respective pure acid and base because of rapid exchange on the NMR time scale. The equilibrium constants between the hydride and isomers A, B, and C, are $K_{A/eq} = 240 (\pm 20)$, $K_{B/eq} = 70 (\pm 10)$ and $K_{C/eq} = 31 (\pm 6)$, respectively. Using a p K_a value of 17.95 for [H-DMAP]BF₄ in acetonitrile,⁶⁷ the p K_a values of isomers A, B, and C are 15.6, 16.1, and 16.5, respectively. Weighting the pK_a values of the isomers with their relative concentrations gives an average pK_{a2} of 16.5. These measurements were also cross-checked by performing similar experiments with 2,6-lutidinium (pK_a of 14.13 in acetonitrile),⁶⁷ which gave similar pK_a values (see Experimental Section).

Thermodynamic Data for Hydrogen Addition to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$. The pK_{a1} value of $[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^+$ and the redox potentials of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$, together with the known value in acetonitrile for the two-electron reduction of a proton to a hydride,⁶⁹ were used in the thermodynamic cycle shown in Scheme 3 to determine the hydride donor

Scheme 3. Determination of the Hydride Donor Ability of $[NiH(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu})_2]^+$

$[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2]^* \longrightarrow$	$[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2] + H^+$	$\Delta G^\circ = 1.37(pK_{a1}) = 33.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$
$[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2] \longrightarrow $	$[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2]^{2+} + 2 e^{-}$	$\Delta G^\circ = 46.1 \text{E}^\circ(\text{II}/0) = -52.1 \text{ kcal/mol}$
H⁺+2e⁻ — ► H⁻		$\Delta G^{\circ} = 46.1 \text{E}^{\circ}(\text{H}^{+}/\text{H}^{-}) = 79.6 \text{ kcal/mc}$
$[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2]^* \longrightarrow$	$[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2]^{2+} + H^{-}$	∆G° _H - = 61.3 kcal/mol

ability $(\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^{-}})$ of 61.3 kcal/mol for $[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{+.8}$ The value of $\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^-}$ for this complex is comparable to that of $[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^+$, which has cyclohexyl substituents on the phosphorus and benzyl groups on the amine ($\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^{-}} = 60.7$ kcal/mol), and is larger (indicating a weaker hydride donor) than analogous complexes with phenyls on the phosphorus $(\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^{-}} = 57.1 - 59.0 \text{ kcal/mol}).^{8}$ Previous studies have shown that the steric size of the substituent on the phosphorus is an important factor influencing hydride donor ability, and in some cases they can override electronic effects.^{70,71}

The hydride donor ability ($\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^{-}}$) of 61.3 kcal/mol for $[\text{NiH}(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}]^{+}$ and the pK_{a2} value of 16.5 (weighted average for the three isomers, as described above) for the doubly protonated Ni⁰ complex can be used along with the free energy for the heterolytic cleavage of hydrogen in acetonitrile^{69,72} to determine the free energy of -7.9 kcal/mol (Scheme 4) associated with the addition of hydrogen to

Scheme 4. Determination of the Free Energy of H₂ addition to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}]^{2+}$

$[\operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{P^{Cy}}_2\operatorname{N^{tBu}}_2)_2]^{2*} + \operatorname{H^{-}} \longrightarrow [\operatorname{NiH}(\operatorname{P^{Cy}}_2\operatorname{N^{tBu}}_2)_2]^*$	$\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^{-}} = -61.3 \text{ kcal/mol}$
$[NiH(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2]^+ + H^+ \longrightarrow [Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2H)_2]^{2+}$	$\Delta G^{\circ} = -1.37(pK_{a2}) = -22.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$
H ₂ → H ⁺ + H ⁻	ΔG° = 76.0 kcal/mol
$[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2)_2]^{2^+} + H_2 \longrightarrow [Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{tBu}_2H)_2]^{2^+}$	ΔG°_{H2} = -7.9 kcal/mol

 $[Ni(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu})_2]^{2+}$. This is significantly more negative than the previously studied hydrogen oxidation complex, [Ni- $(P^{Cy}_2 N^{Bn}_2)_2]^{2+}$, which has a free energy of hydrogen addition of -3.1 kcal/mol.^{8,48}

Electrochemical Studies and Catalytic Oxidation of **H₂.** The cyclic voltammogram of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ in benzonitrile exhibits Ni^{II/I} and Ni^{I/I} redox couples at -0.81 and -1.45 V ($\Delta E_p = 82$ and 60 mV at a scan rate of 50 mV/s), respectively, versus the Cp₂Fe^{+/0} couple. In acetonitrile, the Ni^{II/I} couple for [Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂]²⁺ is observed at -0.77 V vs $Cp_2Fe^{+/0}$ and is shown as the black trace in Figure 3. Purging this solution with hydrogen results in an immediate color change of this solution from red to colorless and is associated with the formation of isomer A, which persists for hours as the major product in the absence of water. A cyclic voltammogram of a solution of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ purged with hydrogen is shown in Figure 3 as the red trace. The Ni^{1/0} couple is quasireversible, and occurs at $E_{1/2}(I/0) = -0.36 \text{ V} (\Delta E_p = 116)$ mV and $i_{\text{cathodic}}/i_{\text{anodic}} = 1.3$ at a scan rate of 50 mV/s). As discussed above, the addition of water to solutions of A promotes its isomerization to endo-exo (B), and exo-exo (C), isomers. Ten equivalents of water with respect to the Ni complex were added to a solution to facilitate isomerization of A to form B and C, and an NMR spectrum acquired of the solution after 8 h confirmed the presence of all three isomers. The cyclic voltammogram of this solution displayed two Ni^{I/0} quasireversible and one irreversible oxidation couples assigned to the three isomers (see the blue trace in Figure 3). The quasireversible oxidation couple with $E_{1/2}(I/0) = -0.48$ V (est.



Article

Figure 3. Cyclic voltammogram of a 1.8 mM solution of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4})_{2}$ in acetonitrile under nitrogen (black), under 1 atm hydrogen (red), and 8 h after the addition of 10 equiv of water (blue). Conditions: scan rate = 50 mV/s, 0.2 M Bu_4NPF_{61} glassy carbon working electrode. Potentials are referenced to the ${\rm Cp}_2{\rm Fe}^{*/0}$ couple.

-04

-0.6

Potential vs Cp₂Fe^{+/0} (V)

-0.8

-1.0

2

1

-1

-2

-0.2

Current (µA) 0

 $\Delta E_{\rm p}$ = 55 mV and $i_{\rm cathodic}/i_{\rm anodic}$ = 1.5 at a scan rate of 50 mV/s) is assigned to isomer **B**, and the irreversible couple with $E_{p}(I/0)$ = -0.75 V is assigned to isomer C. The oxidation potential of isomer A is 120 mV positive of the oxidation potential of isomer B and 530 mV positive of the potential for isomer C. Similar separations of the redox potentials were found previously for $[Ni(P^{t-Bu}_2N^{Bn}_2H)_2]^{2+.7}$

Effect of Water on the Catalytic Rate of Hydrogen Oxidation. Recent results in our laboratory with related $[Ni(P^{Ph}_{2}N^{R'}_{2})_{2}]^{2+}$ complexes demonstrate increases in the rate of electrocatalytic proton reduction upon addition of water to acetonitrile solutions.^{9,12,15,74} These rate accelerations range from 20%¹² to over a factor of 60.¹⁵ To determine whether water might have an effect on the opposite reaction, oxidation of hydrogen, we examined $[Ni^{I}(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4})$ for changes in catalytic activity upon addition of water. Water is significantly more miscible with acetonitrile than benzonitrile, so the former was used in these studies. The $E_{1/2}$ potentials of the Ni^{II/I} and $Ni^{1/0}$ couples in acetonitrile are observed at -0.77 and -1.42 V, respectively.

The cyclic voltammogram of $[Ni^{I}(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu})_{2}]$ in acetonitrile under an atmosphere of nitrogen (black trace) is shown in Figure 4. The cyclic voltammogram of the same solution under 1 atm of hydrogen is shown as the red trace in Figure 4. Upon oxidation to Ni^{II}, the complex reacts with hydrogen to form the doubly protonated Ni⁰ complex, which displays an oxidation wave at $E_p = -0.36$ V, as discussed above. On the return scan, the wave associated with the (II/I) couple of $[Ni^{I}(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu})_{2}]^{+}$ is absent. Addition of NEt₃ results in an increase in the anodic current observed at -0.77 V. The plateau shape of this wave and the magnitude of the current enhancement indicate that this is a catalytic wave corresponding to the oxidation of H₂. No further increase in catalytic current was observed above a concentration of 17 mM NEt₃ (green trace). Recent experimental measurements of open circuit potentials at a platinum electrode provide an accurate evaluation of the thermodynamic potentials for oxidation and production of hydrogen under different conditions.⁷⁵ On the basis of these measurements, the reversible potential for H₂



Figure 4. Cyclic voltammogram of a 1.0 mM solution of $[Ni^{l}(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4})$ in acetonitrile under nitrogen (black), under hydrogen (red), with 17 mM NEt₃ (green), 17 mM NEt₃ and 300 mM H₂O (light blue), and 83 mM NEt₃ and 860 mM H₂O (dark blue). Conditions: scan rate = 50 mV/s, 0.2 M Bu₄NPF₆, glassy carbon working electrode, 23 °C. Potentials are referenced to the Cp₂Fe^{+/0} couple.

oxidation (1.0 atm) in the presence of 17 mM NEt₃ in acetonitrile is -1.31 V, indicating that the overpotential (as measured at the half-height of the catalytic wave) under these conditions is about 0.54 V. However, we did not attempt to minimize the overpotential by studying bases of varying strength.

Subsequent cyclic voltammograms recorded with increasing concentrations of water (44-860 mM) displayed an increase in the catalytic current at -0.77 V. Successive increases in the concentrations of NEt₃ and water led to an increase in current until the concentration was 83 mM NEt₃ and 860 mM H₂O (see Supporting Information). Higher concentrations of water and NEt₃ did not result in any further increase in current, indicating that the catalyst is operating under substrate concentration independent conditions (zero-order in base). Changes in catalyst concentration from 0.3 to 0.8 mM do not result in changes in the measured i_{cat}/i_{p} , indicating the catalyst is not operating under hydrogen-limited conditions. The oxidative current (i_{cat}) for the Ni^{II/I} couple under 1.0 atm of hydrogen, 83 mM $\overline{\text{NEt}}_3$, and 860 mM $\overline{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is 22 times larger than the current (i_p) observed for the Ni^{II/I} couple in the absence of hydrogen, base, and water. The ratio (i_{cat}/i_p) of the catalytic current under substrate (base) independent conditions divided by the peak current under noncatalytic conditions can be used to calculate (eq 2) a turnover frequency of 45 s^{-1} under 1.0 atm of H₂. This value is close to the value of 50 s⁻¹ reported previously using triethylamine as the base in benzonitrile, although the prior study was not performed under rigorously dry conditions.⁵⁰ In eq 2, R is the gas constant, \overline{T} is the temperature in K, F is the Faraday constant, v is the scan rate in V/s, and k_{obs} is the observed rate constant, or turnover frequency.^{6,13,76-78}

$$\frac{i_{\text{cat}}}{i_{\text{p}}} = \frac{n}{0.4463} \sqrt{\frac{RTk_{\text{obs}}}{Fv}}$$
(2)

In addition to the increase in current observed for the wave at -0.77 V, it can also be seen that there is enhanced current at -0.36 V in the presence of NEt₃ with no added water (green trace in Figure 4), indicating a second catalytic pathway for H₂ oxidation that involves Ni⁰ isomer **A**, which oxidizes at a much more positive potential. This pathway is disfavored upon addition of water.

Effect of Base Size on Catalytic Rate of Hydrogen Oxidation. We also examined the effect of base size on the catalytic rate by using *t*-butylamine and *n*-butylamine (see Figure 5 for cyclic voltammograms of the latter). Their conjugate acids have nearly identical pK_a values of 18.1 and 18.3, respectively, in acetonitrile; for comparison, the pK_a value of H-NEt₃⁺ is 18.8 (see Table 2).⁶⁷ This allows for a

Table 2. Turnover Frequencies (TOF, s⁻¹) at 23 °C for Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Hydrogen (1 atm) by $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ Using Three Amines in Dry Acetonitrile, and in Acetonitrile with Added Water

base	pK _a ^a	TOF (s ⁻¹), dry	TOF (s^{-1}) , with H_2O (mM H	$(_2O)$
n-BuNH ₂	18.3	50	58 (128)	
t-BuNH ₂	18.1	2	43 (360)	
NEt ₃	18.8	3	45 (860)	
	(1.6.0)			۰.

 ${}^{a}pK_{a}$ values (MeCN solvent) are for those of the corresponding conjugate acids of the bases.

comparison of the effect of base size, because the thermodynamic driving force for catalysis is nearly the same with all of these amine bases. A plot of i_{cat}/i_p as a function of the concentration of *n*-butylamine is shown in Figure 6. The value



Figure 5. Cyclic voltammogram of a 0.62 mM solution of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t.Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ in acetonitrile under nitrogen (black), under hydrogen (red), varying amounts of *n*-BuNH₂ (green and light blue traces), and 101 mM *n*-BuNH₂ and 128 mM H₂O (dark blue). Conditions: scan rate = 50 mV/s, 0.2 M Bu₄NPF₆, glassy carbon working electrode, 23 °C. Potentials are referenced to the Cp₂Fe^{+/0} couple.

of i_{cat}/i_p increases with $[n-BuNH_2]$ at low concentrations and becomes independent of base concentration at higher concentrations. Without added water, the maximum turnover



Figure 6. Plot of i_{cat}/i_p vs [n-BuNH₂] for oxidation of H₂ by an 0.62 mM solution of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ in acetonitrile. Conditions: scan rate = 50 mV/s, 0.2 M Bu₄NPF₆ as supporting electrolyte, glassy carbon working electrode. Red diamonds are for experiments with 219 mM H₂O.

frequency obtained using *n*-BuNH₂ as the base under 1.0 atm of hydrogen is 50 s⁻¹, which is significantly larger than that observed for *t*-BuNH₂ or NEt₃. All of the rates increase upon addition of water, but the rate increase is most pronounced for the sterically hindered bases, *t*-BuNH₂ and NEt₃, which increase by more than an order of magnitude. As shown in Table 2, the fastest rate observed for the catalyst is in the presence of both *n*-BuNH₂ and water, 58 s⁻¹, but this rate is only slightly (20%) faster than that observed in the absence of water.

Reactivity of [Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂]²⁺ with Carbon Monoxide. It is important to study the reaction of our catalysts with CO because the hydrogen used in fuel cells is typically generated by steam reforming of methane and is contaminated with CO, which poisons platinum catalysts even at parts per million levels. The complex $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ in acetonitrile reacts rapidly with CO, turning from red to orange. The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectrum displays resonances at 43 and -15 ppm (Figure 6). This reaction proceeds to completion under 1 atm of CO, with no starting material or other products observed in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. The previously studied hydrogen oxidation catalyst $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^{2+}$ displayed an intermediate with similar ³¹P NMR resonances (57 and -4 ppm) upon reaction with CO, and was characterized by a single crystal Xray diffraction study.⁷⁹ The proposed reaction product of $[Ni(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu})_2]^{2+}$ with CO (shown in Figure 7) is expected to



Figure 7. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ in acetonitrile under 1 atm of nitrogen (black), under 1 atm of CO (blue), and under 1 atm of hydrogen (red). The proposed structure of the CO complex is shown in the figure, with the cyclohexyls on the phosphines excluded for clarity.

be structurally similar. We were unable to obtain an X-ray crystal structure of the CO adduct. Although large single crystals of $[Ni(CO)(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ can be grown from diffusion of Et₂O into acetonitrile, the crystals were sensitive to visible light, likely resulting from CO loss.

Although $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ binds CO, H₂ binding is favored. When a solution of $[Ni(CO)(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ is purged with hydrogen, the hydrogen addition product is the only species seen in the ³¹P NMR spectrum, with no evidence of decomposition. Exposure of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ to a 1:1 mix of H₂ and CO for 10 min gives the same result; only the hydrogen addition product is observed, indicating a strong preference for H₂ binding over CO.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies have shown that $[Ni(P_2^RN_2^{R'})_2]^{2+}$ complexes are very active catalysts for H₂ production and oxidation.^{6-13,15,16,48,50,74} Systematic variations of the R substituents on phosphorus and the R' groups on N have been used to control the hydride donor/acceptor ability of the Ni center and the basicity of the pendant base, respectively, for hydrogen production catalysts.^{12,15,74} As shown in Scheme 4, increasing the hydride donor ability (decreasing $\Delta G^{\circ}_{\rm H^-}$) and decreasing the pK_a value (increasing the acidity) of the protonated pendant amine will favor H₂ elimination. These studies demonstrated that an increase in the driving force for H₂ elimination from $[Ni(P^{\rm R}_2N^{\rm R'}H)_2]^{2+}$ complexes correlates with an increase in the catalytic rate for H₂ production.⁷⁹

We have previously reported that the complex [Ni- $(P^{Cy}N^{Bn})_{2}^{2+}$ (Bn = benzyl) is a catalyst for hydrogen oxidation, with a turnover frequency of 10 s⁻¹ under 1 atm of hydrogen.⁶ In an effort to increase the catalytic rate of H₂ oxidation for this class of catalyst, we sought to extend the approach used for H₂ production catalysts to H₂ oxidation catalysts. This led us to prepare Ni^{II} complexes containing the $P^{t-Bu}_{2}N^{Bn}_{2}$ ligand. It was thought that the bulky t-Bu groups of this ligand would lead to [Ni(P^{t-Bu}2N^{Bn}2)2]²⁺ complexes with large tetrahedral distortions from a nominally square planar geometry. Such tetrahedral distortions are correlated with a strong hydride acceptor ability for these complexes. However, the steric strain introduced by the interligand interactions between the t-Bu groups resulted in the formation of $[Ni(P^{t-Bu}_{2}N^{Bn}_{2})(CH_{3}CN)_{2}]^{2+}$ containing only one diphosphine ligand, and this complex is not an active catalyst for either H₂ oxidation or production.18

An alternative approach to preparing faster H₂ oxidation catalysts was to increase the basicity of the pendant amine. To achieve this goal we prepared the complex $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ as communicated previously⁵⁰ and showed that it is a very fast catalyst for hydrogen oxidation under 1 atm of hydrogen. In this paper, we provide a quantitative evaluation of the difference in the driving forces for H₂ addition to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ and $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ (Table 3), report the first X-ray diffraction

Table 3. Experimentally Measured Thermodynamic Values and Catalytic Turnover Frequencies for $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^R_2)_2]^{2+}$



R	$\Delta G_{\mathrm{H}}^{-a}$	pK_a^b (NR)	$\Delta {G^0}_{ m H_2}{}^a$	TOF $(s^{-1})^c$
benzyl ⁸	-60.7	13.4	-3.1	10
t-Bu	-61.3	16.5	-7.9	45

 a In kcal/mol. b In acetonitrile, averaged between isomers. c Using Et_3N as a base, under wet conditions.

studies of an H_2 addition product of this class of H_2 oxidation catalyst, discuss the energetics of addition of hydrogen to the Ni^{II} complex, and explore the role of the pendant base and water in transferring a proton from the metal center to a base in solution.

We previously reported the synthesis and structural characterization of the Ni^{II} complex, $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$, which exhibited a tetrahedrally distorted square planar geometry.⁵⁰ In this work, we report the synthesis of the corresponding Ni⁰ and Ni¹ complexes. Thus the complete series of complexes of the oxidation states Ni^{II}, Ni¹, and Ni⁰, has now

been isolated and characterized. The structural studies of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ and $Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2$ together with previous studies of $[Ni(P^{t-Bu}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^+$ and $Ni(P^{t-Bu}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2$ indicate that there are two major structural changes that occur upon reduction of these complexes, an increase in the dihedral angle between the two diphosphine ligands by approximately 60° upon reduction from Ni^{II} to Ni^I, and a contraction of the Ni–P bond distance by nearly 0.1 Å upon reduction from Ni^I to Ni⁰.

The reaction of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ with H₂ results in the formation of the doubly protonated Ni⁰ product that exists in the form of three isomers, A, B, and C, as shown in Scheme 1. Similar isomers have been reported previously in the reaction of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^{2+}$ with H_2 , and their structures were deduced by extensive ¹H, ³¹P, and ¹⁵N NMR studies.^{7,64} However, we had previously been unable to grow suitable crystals for X-ray diffraction studies to provide additional structural information. The X-ray diffraction study of isomer B, (Figure 2), is of special interest because it exhibits both endo protonation and exo protonation of the ligands. The distance between the two N atoms of the exo protonated or "pinched" ligand is 2.69 Å, consistent with a N···H-N hydrogen bond. DFT calculations performed on the analogous complex with the P^{Cy}₂N^{Bn}₂ ligand gave a calculated N–N distance of 2.61 Å.⁶⁴ These calculations also suggest that the bridging proton is located in an asymmetric position, being closer to one N than the other. However, the triplet pattern observed for the proton resonance for the ${}^{15}N$ labeled $[Ni(P^{Cy}{}_2N^{Bn}{}_2H)_2]^{2+}$ complex is consistent with a dynamic process in which this proton moves back and forth between the two N atoms of the pinched ligand. The Ni-N3 distance for the endo protonated ligand of isomer B in Figure 2 is 3.31 Å, compared to the Ni-N2 and NiN3 distances of 3.83 and 3.48 Å for the N atoms of the rings in the boat conformer of the Ni(0) complex, Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂. This is consistent with shortening of the Ni-N distance due to the presence of a Ni⁰…H-N hydrogen bond. NMR studies on intramolecular proton exchange for isomer B of the complex $[Ni(P^{Cy}{}_2N^{Bn}{}_2)_2\hat{]}^{2_+}$ indicates facile proton movement from an endo position on one N atom of the endo P2N2 ligand to the other N atom, via a NiH intermediate.⁶⁴ This is also observed in the singly protonated complex $[Ni(dppp)(P^{Ph}_2N^{Bn}_2H)]^+$, where the endoproton undergoes rapid exchange $(10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 10$ °C) between the two amines through a NiH intermediate.⁴⁸

The Ni^{II} complex $[Ni(P_{2}^{Cy}N_{2}^{t\cdot Bu})_{2})_{2}^{2+}$ reacts with hydrogen to produce a doubly protonated Ni⁰ complex. The kinetic product of addition of H₂ to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ in the absence of water is isomer A, which has both protons endo with respect to the metal. This isomer rearranges through intermolecular proton transfers over several hours to form isomers B and C, which is similar to the behavior observed for the related complex $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^{2+}$ upon reaction with hydrogen.^{64,80} Addition of water facilitates this intermolecular exchange, resulting in a more rapid approach to equilibrium, which is achieved in approximately five hours. The observed equilibrium indicates that these species are nearly of equal energy (within 1.3 kcal/ mol of each other at room temperature). The driving force for H₂ addition to complex $[Ni(P_2^{Cy}N_2^{t-Bu})_2]^{2+}$ is determined by the hydride and proton acceptor abilities of this complex as shown in Scheme 4. The hydride acceptor ability $(-\Delta G^{\circ}_{H^{-}})$ was experimentally determined from the pK_a value of $[HNi(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^+$ and the Ni^{II/I} and Ni^{I/0} potentials of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ to be -61.3 kcal/mol, very close to the -60.7 kcal/mol measured for $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^{2+.8}$ However, replacing the benzyl substituent on the pendant amine with a tbutyl group increases the pK_a from 13.4 to 16.5. This corresponds to a more negative free energy $(\Delta G^{\circ}_{H_2})$ for addition of H₂ to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$, from -3.1 to -7.9 kcal/mol. Thus replacing the benzyl substituent on the pendant amine with a *t*-Bu substituent increases the driving force for H₂ addition by 4.8 kcal/mol, more than the 1.8 kcal/mol difference expected on the basis of the pK_a values of protonated benzylamine (16.8) and protonated *t*-butylamine (18.1) in acetonitrile. This correlates with an increase in catalytic rate, as shown in Table 3.

The cyclic voltammograms of the three nearly isoenergetic isomers A, B, and C show different oxidation potentials, as shown in Figure 3. Each of these isomers has two hydrogen bonds. For isomer C there are two N···H-N hydrogen bonds, for isomer B there is one N…H-N hydrogen bond and one Ni…H-N hydrogen bond, and for isomer A there are two Ni-H-N hydrogen bonds. Theoretical calculations on [Ni- $(P^{Cy}_{2}HN^{Bn})_{2}]^{2+}$ indicate that the N···H–N hydrogen bonds and the Ni…H-N hydrogen bonds are of roughly equal energy.⁷³ Isomer C is the easiest to oxidize (most negative redox potential), followed by isomers B and A. The dramatic differences in oxidation potentials for these three conformers are due to the presence of hydrogen bonds between the Ni and the endo proton of the pendant amine. The Ni…H-N hydrogen bond stabilizes the HOMO of the Ni⁰, which results in a higher oxidation potential for the metal. For isomer A, two Ni…H–N hydrogen bonds are broken upon oxidation of Ni⁰ to Ni^I. For isomer **B**, one Ni…H-N bond is broken upon oxidation to Ni(I), and for isomer C, no Ni…H-N hydrogen bonds are broken upon oxidation. As a result, the relative stability of the oxidized isomers is expected to be C^+ (with two $N \cdots H - N$ bonds) > B⁺ (with one $N \cdots H - N$ bond) > A⁺ (with no N···H-N bonds). Other examples of hydrogen bonds with transition metals have been reported, with bond enthalpies estimated to be between 3 and $\hat{7}$ kcal/mol.^{81–85} Similar trends in electrochemical data have also been observed experimentally in the analogous complex $[Ni(P^{t-Bu}_2N^{Bn}_2H)_2]^{2+,73}$ The magnitude of the oxidation potential differences in that complex was consistent with DFT calculations of the free energies of each of the species.⁷³ The difference in the oxidation potential between isomer B and C is 410 mV, which corresponds to an energy of 9.4 kcal/mol for the Ni…H-N bond in isomer B. The oxidation potential for isomer A is 530 mV more positive than that for isomer C, suggesting that the two Ni…H-N hydrogen bonds in isomer A are worth 12.2 kcal/mol. The difference in oxidation potentials resulting from the Ni…H-N hydrogen bonds may have implications for the operating potential (and overpotentials) of these catalysts.

During the catalytic cycle (Scheme 5) the kinetic product of H_2 addition to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ is isomer **A**. Oxidation of isomer **A** at -0.36 V will lead to cleavage or weakening of the Ni···H–N bond, facilitating the boat to chair conformational change that may be required for the intermolecular transfer of a proton to the bulky NEt₃ base in solution. In addition, the proton on the pendant amine will be much more acidic after oxidation of Ni⁰ to Ni¹. Because the proton on the pendant base is more sterically accessible and more acidic, NEt₃ can readily deprotonate this doubly protonated Ni¹ species in two sequential steps to form the unprotonated Ni¹ species, which is rapidly oxidized to regenerate $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$. Thus the catalytic wave observed in the presence of NEt₃ at -0.36 V

Scheme 5. Proposed Mechanism for Electrocatalytic Oxidation of H₂, Showing That Deprotonation Followed by Oxidation Occurs at a More Negative Potential (Lower Overpotential) than Oxidation Followed by Proton Transfer^{*a*}



^{*a*}R groups on P and R' groups on N not shown.

(Figure 4) results from oxidation of the doubly protonated Ni⁰ species, **A**, followed by proton transfer.

An alternate catalytic pathway observed in the presence of NEt₃ involves the direct deprotonation of isomer A. This deprotonation reaction will lead to the formation of the nickel hydride complex, as shown in Scheme 5. Subsequent oxidation of $[HNi(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^+$ would likely occur at potentials positive of the Ni^{II/I} couple, but rapid proton transfer from this oxidized hydride species will shift this potential in a negative direction such that it can approach the potential of the Ni(II/I) couple, as observed, leading to the catalytic wave observed at approximately -0.75 V in Figure 4. Thus in the presence of NEt₃ in "dry" solvent, two competing pathways for catalysis are observed, and they occur at different potentials. For the catalytic wave observed at -0.75 V, deprotonation of A is followed by oxidation (Scheme 5). In the catalytic wave observed at -0.36 V, oxidation of A occurs before deprotonation. The 0.38 V more positive oxidation potential for the wave at -0.36 V results in a corresponding increase of that magnitude in the overpotential for hydrogen oxidation. These results clearly indicate the importance of the sequence and pathway for proton movement in determining overpotentials,

An increase in catalytic current is observed at -0.75 V upon addition of water, as shown in Figure 4. Water facilitates the deprotonation of the hydrogen addition product by making the endo proton more accessible. The use of sterically hindered amines (NEt₃ and *t*-BuNH₂) as the base results in slow catalysis in the absence of water, which increases by an order of magnitude upon addition of water. However, the use of the smaller base *n*-butylamine gives fast catalysis in the absence of water, and only a slight increase with addition of water (see Figure 5). Therefore, it appears that in the absence of water, deprotonation and subsequent catalysis at the lower overpotential is hindered by steric interference, and this effect is overcome by the presence of water.

We propose two possible avenues for the acceleration by water (Scheme 6). One possibility is that water forms a

hydrogen bond with the endo proton, weakening the Ni…H-N hydrogen bond (Scheme 6a). This would stabilize a boat-tochair conformational change of the six-membered ring containing the endo proton, creating easier access for deprotonation by the base. A similar boat-to-chair conformational change is required for the intermolecular proton transfer required to isomerize A to B or C, and our NMR spectroscopic and theoretical studies have established that water facilitates that isomerization.⁸⁰ Alternatively, water may form a hydrogen bond network that allows deprotonation of a distal, more accessible proton from water, which deprotonates the N-H, thus relieving the steric requirements for deprotonation (Scheme 6b). At this time, we are unable to distinguish whether one effect is dominant, or if it is a combination of both, but we are continuing experimental and theoretical studies to determine the contributions for the influence of water on the catalytic rate.

In the presence of excess NEt₃ and water, or in the presence of excess *n*-BuNH₂, the catalytic rate is independent of the concentration of base, and the observed catalytic potential is near that of the Ni^{II/I} couple of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$. These data suggest that under these conditions, the catalytic rate for H₂ oxidation does not depend on the rate of intermolecular proton transfer, suggesting that H₂ addition may be the rate-limiting step. In this case an increase in the driving force for H₂ addition is expected to result in faster catalysis. Indeed, the TOF for $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ (with a driving force for H₂ addition of -7.9 kcal/mol) under 1.0 atm of H₂ of 45 s⁻¹ is significantly faster than the TOF of 10 s⁻¹ reported previously for $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{Bn}_2)_2]^{2+}$ (with a driving force of -3.1 kcal/mol).

CONCLUSIONS

 $[{\rm Ni}(P^{\rm Cy}{}_2{\rm N}^{t\text{-}{\rm Bu}}{}_2)_2]^{2+}$ is the fastest reported molecular catalyst reported for hydrogen oxidation, an essential reaction for the conversion of chemical energy to electrical energy in hydrogen fuel cells. $[{\rm Ni}(P^{\rm Cy}{}_2{\rm N}^{t\text{-}{\rm Bu}}{}_2)_2]^{2+}$ binds hydrogen preferentially over CO, a common impurity in hydrogen produced from



steam reforming of methane, which poisons platinum, the catalyst used in most low-temperature hydrogen fuel cells. The fast rate of the Ni catalyst reported here is attributed to the high driving force for heterolytic cleavage of hydrogen. Two of the products of hydrogen addition have been characterized by Xray crystallography, providing confirmation of the structure proposed on the basis of NMR studies.

The rate of hydrogen oxidation catalysis is accelerated by the addition of water. Our NMR and electrochemical studies demonstrated that water is important for fast intermolecular proton transfer. The kinetic product of hydrogen addition has the protons on the pendant amines in an endo position facing the metal. In this position, the protons are stabilized by a Ni…H-N hydrogen bond that decreases the electron density of the metal, resulting in a more positive oxidation potential. Cleavage of the Ni…H-N hydrogen bond and removal of the proton from the second coordination sphere is one observed pathway for catalysis. This pathway is facilitated by water or small bases such as *n*-butylamine. An alternative catalytic pathway involves the oxidation of the doubly protonated Ni⁰ complex prior to deprotonation. This pathway requires a more positive oxidation potential, which results in an increase in the overpotential. With large external bases, water is critical for fast proton removal and therefore fast catalytic rates as well as operating at a more modest potential. These results provide valuable insight into the different catalytic cycles possible for hydrogen oxidation and the importance of the sequence of proton and electron transfer steps for fast catalysis and low overpotentials.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. ¹H, and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova spectrometer (500 MHz for ¹H) at 20 °C unless otherwise noted. All ¹H chemical shifts have been internally calibrated to the monoprotio impurity of the deuterated solvent. The ³¹P NMR spectra were proton decoupled and referenced to external phosphoric acid.

All electrochemical experiments were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen, or hydrogen when indicated, in 0.2 M Bu₄NBF₄ acetonitrile solutions. Cyclic voltammetry experiments were performed with a CH Instruments model 660C potentiostat. Ferrocene was used as an internal standard, and all potentials are referenced to the Cp₂Fe^{+/0} couple.^{86,87}

Synthesis and Materials. All reactions and manipulations were performed under an N_2 atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques or a glovebox unless otherwise indicated. Solvents were dried using an activated alumina column. Triethylamine was dried over CaH₂ and vacuum transferred, while *tert*-butylamine and *n*-butylamine were dried over potassium hydroxide. Bu₄NBF₄ was dried at 100 °C under a vacuum for 12 h. $P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}$ and $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ was prepared using a literature method.⁵⁰ Ni(COD)₂ was purchased from Strem Chemicals. The acid salt [H-DMAP]⁺BF₄⁻⁻ was synthesized by reaction of the corresponding base with a stoichiometric amount of HBF₄ in diethyl ether and isolated by filtration.⁸⁸ [H-MTBD]⁺BPh₄⁻⁻, [H-DBU]⁺BPh₄⁻⁻ were synthesized by adding a stoichiometric amount of aqueous HCl to the amine in methanol, followed by a stoichiometric amount of NaBPh₄, as previously described.⁸⁹ The dihydrogen used in the synthesis was UHP grade and passed through a hydrogen purification column purchased from Vici Metronics.

Preparation of [Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂]. A solution of the ligand $P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}$ (0.500 g, 1.17 mmol) in 6 mL of tetrahydrofuran was cooled in a dry ice/isopropanol bath (-40 °C). Ni(COD)₂ was added (0.161 g, 0.587 mmol), and the solution was warmed to room temperature over an hour. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure, and the remaining pale yellow solid was washed with acetonitrile and dried under a vacuum (0.440 g, 82% yield). ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were consistent with the previously reported complex.⁵⁰

[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF4). [Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF4)_2 (25 mg, 0.027 mmol) and [Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2] (20 mg, 0.027 mmol) was added to 3 mL of acetonitrile, and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the product as an orange solid (45 mg, 100% yield). The solution magnetic susceptibility in CD₃CN was determined by the Evans method to be 1.90 $\mu_{\rm B}$.^{61–63} The diamagnetic correction for [Ni¹(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF4) was estimated using Pascal's constants. Anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₉₆BF4N4NiP4: C, 57.73; H, 9.69; N, 5.61. Found: C, 57.58; H, 9.75; N, 5.51.

 pK_a Determination of [HNi($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂](BF₄). A saturated solution of [Ni($P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2$)₂] in benzonitrile (500 µL, 0.4 mM, 0.2

 μ mol) was added to an NMR tube followed by 100 μ L of a buffered solution of MTBD: [H-MTBD]⁺BPh₄⁻ (44.4 mM MTBD: 41.9 mM $[H-MTBD]^+BPh_4^-$; 4.44 µmol MTBD and 4.19 µmol [H-MTBD]⁺BPh₄⁻). The sample was analyzed by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectroscopy at 25 °C using a delay time of 6 s and an acquisition time of 4 s to determine the concentration of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]$ and $[HNi(P^{Cy}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4})$. The NMR data were acquired over a period of 14 h. This procedure was repeated once more using a different ratio of MTBD: [H-MTBD]⁺BPh₄⁻ (4.44 μmol MTBD, 7.08 μmol [H-MTBD]⁺BPh₄⁻). An equilibrium constant of $K_{eq} = 9$ (±6) was determined. The use of this K_{eq} value in combination with the known pK_a value of MTBD in acetonitrile (25.49) was used to determine the pK_a of the Ni–H complex of 24.6 (± 0.3). Additionally, the pK_a for the Ni-H complex was determined using the same procedure as above, but with a different base and conjugate acid, DBU: [H-DBU]⁺BPh₄ (4.2 μ mol DBU: 4.51 μ mol [H-DBU]⁺BPh₄⁻). The equilibrium DBU]⁺ in acetonitrile is used.

pK_a Determination of [Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂H)₂](BF₄)₂. A solution of $[Ni(P_{2}^{Cy}N_{2}^{t-Bu})_{2}](BF_{4})_{2}$ (600 µL, 9.75 mM, 5.85 µmol) and DMAP (20 μ L, 94.9 mM, 1.90 μ mol) in CD₃CN was added to an NMR tube via a syringe. Hydrogen was sparged through the solution for 5 min, resulting in a color change from red-orange to pale yellow. The sample was analyzed by both ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy at 25 °C to determine the concentration of DMAP and [H-DMAP]⁺BF₄⁻ from the ¹H NMR spectrum and to determine the concentration of the isomers of $[Ni(P_{2}^{Cy}N^{t-Bu}_{2}H)_{2}](BF_{4})_{2}$ and $[HNi(P_{2}^{Cy}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4}).$ The chemical exchange of DMAP and $[H-DMAP]^+BF_4^-$ is fast on the NMR time scale, and the weighted average was used to determine the relative concentrations of DMAP and [H-DMAP]+BF4-. Proton transfer exchange of the nickel species is slow on the NMR time scale, and integration of the peaks determined the relative concentrations of these compounds. The ³¹P{¹H} spectrum was acquired at 25 °C using a delay time of 11 s and an acquisition time of 4 s to determine the concentration of the isomers of [Ni- $(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2}H)_{2}](BF_{4})_{2}$ and $[HNi(P^{Cy}_{2}N^{t-Bu}_{2})_{2}](BF_{4})$. This procedure was repeated two more times by adding additional aliquots of DMAP corresponding to 2.8 and 3.8 additional μ mol. The equilibrium constant for the isomers of this reaction are $K_{Aveq} = 240 \ (\pm 20), K_{Bveq} =$ 70 (±10) and $K_{Creq} = 31$ (±6). The use of these K_{eq} values in combination with the known pK_a value of DMAP in acetonitrile (17.95) was used to determine the pK_a of the isomers A, B, and C of 15.6, 16.1, and 16.5. The pK_a of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2H)_2](BF_4)_2$ was further determined by adding $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]$ (11.4 mg, 12.5 μ mol) to an NMR tube and adding a solution of CD₃CN (ca. 0.6 mL) containing 2,6-lutidinium (4.2 mg, 22 μ mol). The solution was then sparged with H_2 for 5 min. Analysis of both the ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR using the above procedure yielded equilibrium constants of $K_{2Aveq} = 0.077$, K_{2Bveq} = 0.028, and K_{2Creq} = 0.0093, yielding pK_a values of 15.2, 15.7, and 16.2 for the [Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂H)₂](BF₄)₂ isomers when a pK_a value of 14.13 in acetonitrile is used for 2,6-lutidinium.⁸⁹

Population of Isomers A, B, and C upon Hydrogen Addition to $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$. Twenty milligrams (18.4 μ mol) of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ was added to 0.8 mL of CD₃CN in an NMR tube. The solution was sparged with hydrogen for 5 min. A ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum was taken every 20 min for 13 h. The ratio of isomers A, B, and C was determined by integration. To obtain the isomer populations in the presence of water, the same experiment was performed, except 3.3 μ L of H₂O (184 μ mol, 0.22 M) was added to the solution.

Reaction of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ with Carbon Monoxide. Ten milligrams (9.2 μ mol) of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ was added to 1.0 mL of CD₃CN in an NMR tube. The solution was sparged under 1 atm of CO for 5 min, and the color changed from red to orange. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra displayed resonances at -43 and 15 ppm. No other resonances were observed. The solution was then sparged under an atmosphere of H₂ for 5 min. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum displayed a resonance at 18.3 ppm, consistent with isomer A. Purging this solution with a 1:1 mixture of CO:H_2 resulted in no change to the $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectra.

Cyclic Voltammograms of Isomers A, B, and C. 5.8 mg (0.005 mmol) of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t\cdot Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ was dissolved in 3.0 mL of a 0.2 M Bu_4NPF_6 solution of acetonitrile. A cyclic voltammogram was recorded, and the solution was then sparged with hydrogen for 5 min. The cyclic voltammgram of isomer A was then recorded. Ten equivalents of water (0.050 mmol) were added, and another cyclic voltammogram was recorded after 8 h under an atmosphere of hydrogen.

Electrocatalytic Studies of [Ni(P^{Cy}₂N^{t-Bu}₂)₂](BF₄)₂. Studies were performed with three different amine bases and variable concentrations of water. In a typical procedure, a 0.5–1.0 mM of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2](BF_4)_2$ was prepared in 0.2 M Bu₄NPF₆ acetonitrile solution. 2.5 mL was used in each catalytic study. A cyclic voltammogram under nitrogen was recorded to measure i_p . Hydrogen was then sparged through the solution for 5–10 min, and the cyclic voltammogram was again recorded. Aliquots of neat base were added (1–10 μ L), and the cyclic voltammogram recorded until there was no further increase in catalytic current. Water was then added in 1–20 μ L aliquots until there was no further increase in catalytic current. Plots of i_{cat}/i_p vs [base] for representative experiments with the different bases are shown in the Supporting Information.

X-ray Diffraction Studies. Crystals of compound 4 were removed from the flask, a suitable crystal was selected and attached to a glass fiber, and data were collected at 90(2) K using a Bruker/Siemens SMART APEX instrument (Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) equipped with a Cryocool NeverIce low temperature device. The data for the two isomers (B and C) were collected at 90(2) K using Bruker KAPPA APEX II CCD diffractometer with 0.71073 Å Mo K α radiation (see details in CIF files in the Supporting Information). Cell parameters were retrieved using SMART44 software and refined using SAINTPlus⁴⁵ on all observed reflections. Data reduction and correction for Lorentz-polarization and decay were performed using the SAINTPlus software. Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS.⁴⁶ The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by least-squares method on F² using the SHELXTL program package.⁴ The space groups were determined on the basis of systematic absences and intensity statistics. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed at idealized positions and refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters. The pinched proton for isomers B and C was placed between two N atoms using restraints to have equal N-H distance and refined. No decomposition was observed during data collection. Further details are provided in the Supporting Information.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Crystallographic data for complex **2**, **B**, and **C** in CIF format, and graphs for electrocatalytic response for hydrogen oxidation of $[Ni(P^{Cy}_2N^{t-Bu}_2)_2]^{2+}$ under different conditions. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

j.yang@uci.edu; morris.bullock@pnnl.gov

Present Address

⁸Department of Chemistry, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, United States.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Michael Stewart, Dr. Monte Helm, and Dr. Aaron Appel for helpful discussions. This research was supported as part of the Center for Molecular Electrocatalysis, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

(1) Lewis, N. S.; Nocera, D. G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2006, 103, 15729–15735.

- (2) Friedman, D. M., T.; Olson, S. The Role of the Chemical Sciences in Finding Alternatives to Critical Resources: A Workshop Summary; The National Academies Press: Washington, D.C., 2012.
- (3) Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. In *Catalysis Without Precious Metals*; Bullock, R. M., Ed.; Wiley: Weinheim, 2010; Chapter 7.
- (4) Wang, M.; Chen, L.; Sun, L. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 6763–6778.
- (5) Thoi, V. S.; Sun, Y.; Long, J. R.; Chang, C. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 2388-2400.
- (6) Wilson, A. D.; Newell, R. H.; McNevin, M. J.; Muckerman, J. T.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 358–366.
- (7) Wilson, A. D.; Shoemaker, A.; Miedaner, A.; Muckerman, J. T.; DuBois, D. L.; Rakwoski DuBois, M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104*, 6951–6956.
- (8) Fraze, K.; Wilson, A. D.; Appel, A. M.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. Organometallics **2007**, *26*, 3918–3924.
- (9) Appel, A. M.; Pool, D. H.; O'Hagan, M.; Shaw, W. J.; Yang, J. Y.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. ACS Catal. **2011**, 1, 777–785.
- (10) Helm, M. L.; Stewart, M. P.; Bullock, R. M.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. Science **2011**, 333, 863–866.
- (11) Jain, A.; Lense, S.; Linehan, J. C.; Raugei, S.; Cho, H.; DuBois, D. L.; Shaw, W. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 4073–4085.
- (12) Kilgore, U. J.; Roberts, J. A. S.; Pool, D. H.; Appel, A. M.; Stewart, M. P.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; Dougherty, W. G.; Kassel, W. S.;
- Bullock, R. M.; DuBois, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 5861–5872. (13) Pool, D. H.; DuBois, D. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 2858–2865.
- (14) Jacques, P.-A.; Artero, V.; Pecaut, J.; Fontecave, M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009, 106, 20627–20632.
- (15) Kilgore, U. J.; Stewart, M. P.; Helm, M. L.; Dougherty, W. G.; Kassel, W. S.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 10908–10918.
- (16) Wilson, A. D.; Fraze, K.; Twamley, B.; Miller, S. M.; DuBois, D. L.; Rakowski DuBois, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 1061–1068.
- (17) Jacobsen, G. M.; Yang, J. Y.; Twamley, B.; Wilson, A. D.; Bullock, R. M.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2008**, *1*, 167–174.
- (18) Wiedner, E. S.; Yang, J. Y.; Dougherty, W. G.; Kassel, W. S.; Bullock, R. M.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 5390–5401.
- (19) Berben, L. A.; Peters, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 398-400.
 (20) Szymczak, N. K.; Berben, L. A.; Peters, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2009, 6729-6731.
- (21) Artero, V.; Chavarot-Kerlidou, M.; Fontecave, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2011, 50, 7238–7266.
- (22) McCrory, C. C. L.; Uyeda, C.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 3164-3170.
- (23) Stubbert, B. D.; Peters, J. C.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 18070–18073.
- (24) Dempsey, J. L.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 10633–10636.
- (25) Lee, C. H.; Dogutan, D. K.; Nocera, D. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8775–8777.
- (26) Chen, J.; Vannucci, A. K.; Mebi, C. A.; Okumura, N.; Borowski,
- S. C.; Swenson, M.; Lockett, L. T.; Evans, D. H.; Glass, R. S.;
- Lichtenberger, D. L. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5330–5340.
- (27) Tard, C.; Pickett, C. J. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2245-2274.

- (28) Chong, D. S.; Georgakaki, I. P.; Mejia-Rodriguez, R.; Samabria-Chinchilla, J.; Soriaga, M. P.; Darensbourg, M. Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2003, 4158–4163.
- (29) Ott, S.; Kritikos, M.; Akermark, B.; Sun, L. C.; Lomoth, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2004, 43, 1006–1009.
- (30) Mejia-Rodriguez, R.; Chong, D. S.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Soriaga,
- M. P.; Darensbourg, M. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12004–12014.
 (31) Rose, M. J.; Gray, H. B.; Winkler, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012,
- (31) 1035, 11 J., Olay H. D., Winker, J. R. J. Tim. Cham. 602, 2012, 134, 8310–8313.
- (32) Gloaguen, F.; Lawrence, J. D.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Benard, M.; Rohmer, M. M. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 6573-6582.
- (33) Barton, B. E.; Olsen, M. T.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16834-16835.
- (34) Karunadasa, H. I.; Chang, C. J.; Long, J. R. Nature 2010, 464, 1329–1333.
- (35) Karunadasa, H. I.; Montalvo, E.; Sun, Y. J.; Majda, M.; Long, J. R.; Chang, C. J. *Science* **2012**, 335, 698–702.
- (36) Simándi, L. I.; Szeverényi, Z.; Budó-Záhonyi, É. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1975, 11, 773–777.
- (37) Collman, J. P.; Slaughter, L. M.; Eberspacher, T. A.; Strassner, T.; Brauman, J. I. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6272–6280.
- (38) Hu, X.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8988-8998.
- (39) Olsen, M. T.; Barton, B. E.; Rauchfuss, T. B. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 7507-7509.
- (40) Heiden, Z. M.; Zampella, G.; De Gioia, L.; Rauchfuss, T. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2008, 47, 9756–9759.
- (41) Gloaguen, F.; Rauchfuss, T. B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 100–108.
- (42) Ringenberg, M. R.; Nilges, M. J.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. Organometallics **2010**, *29*, 1956–1965.
- (43) Ringenberg, M. R.; Kokatam, S. L.; Heiden, Z. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, 130, 788–789.
- (44) Ogo, S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3317-3325.
- (45) Kuwata, S.; Ikariya, T. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 2984–2992.
- (46) Curtis, C. J.; Miedaner, A.; Ciancanelli, R.; Ellis, W. W.; Noll, B. C.; DuBois, M. R.; DuBois, D. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 216–227.
- (47) Collman, J. P.; Wagenknecht, P. S.; Hutchison, J. E.; Lewis, N. S.; Lopez, M. A.; Guilard, R.; Lher, M.; Bothnerby, A. A.; Mishra, P. K.
- J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1992**, 114, 5654–5664. (48) Yang, J. Y.; Bullock, R. M.; Shaw, W. J.; Twamley, B.; Fraze, K.;
- Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5935–5945.
- (49) Camara, J. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8098-8101.
- (50) Yang, J. Y.; Chen, S. T.; Dougherty, W. G.; Kassel, W. S.; Bullock, R. M.; DuBois, D. L.; Raugei, S.; Rousseau, R.; Dupuis, M.; Rakowski DuBois, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 8618–8620.
- (51) Camara, J. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 26–30.
- (52) Liu, T.; DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 228–233.
- (53) Fontecilla-Camps, J. C.; Volbeda, A.; Cavazza, C.; Nicolet, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5411–5411.
- (54) Cracknell, J. A.; Vincent, K. A.; Armstrong, F. A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2439-2461.
- (55) DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 1017–1027.
- (56) Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. C. R. Chim. **2008**, 11, 805–817.
- (57) Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 62–72.
- (58) Yang, J. Y.; Bullock, M.; Rakowski DuBois, M.; DuBois, D. L. MRS Bull. 2011, 36, 39–47.
- (59) Dempsey, J. L.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16774–16776.
- (60) Yang, J. Y.; Bullock, R. M.; Dougherty, W. G.; Kassel, W. S.; Twamley, B.; DuBois, D. L.; Rakowski DuBois, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **2010**, 39, 3001–3010.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

- (61) Evans, D. F. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 2003-2005.
- (62) Schubert, E. M. J. Chem. Educ. 1992, 69, 62.
- (63) Grant, D. H. J. Chem. Educ. 1995, 72, 39-40.

(64) O'Hagan, M.; Shaw, W. J.; Raugei, S.; Chen, S.; Yang, J. Y.; Kilgore, U. J.; DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14301–14312.

- (65) He, T.; Tsvetkov, N. P.; Andino, J. G.; Gao, X. F.; Fullmer, B. C.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 910-911.
- (66) Tsay, C.; Peters, J. C. Chem. Sci 2012, 3, 1313-1318.
- (67) Kaljurand, I.; Kütt, A.; Sooväli, L.; Rodima, T.; Mäemets, V.; Leito, I.; Koppel, I. A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1019–1028.
- (68) Berning, D. E.; Noll, B. C.; DuBois, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11432-11447.
- (69) Wayner, D. D. M.; Parker, V. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 287-294.
- (70) Miedaner, A.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; DuBois, D. L. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 417-427.
- (71) Curtis, C. J.; Miedaner, A.; Raebiger, J. W.; DuBois, D. L. Organometallics 2004, 23, 511–516.
- (72) Curtis, C. J.; Miedaner, A.; Ellis, W. W.; DuBois, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1918–1925.
- (73) Wiedner, E. S.; Yang, J. Y.; Chen, S. T.; Raugei, S.; Dougherty,

W. G.; Kassel, W. S.; Helm, M. L.; Bullock, R. M.; Rakowski DuBois,

- M.; DuBois, D. L. Organometallics 2012, 31, 144–156.
- (74) Wiese, S.; Kilgore, U. J.; DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 720–727.
- (75) Roberts, J. A. S.; Bullock, R. M. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 3823–3835.
- (76) Nicholson, R. S.; Shain, I. Anal. Chem. 1964, 36, 706-723.
- (77) Savéant, J. M.; Vianello, E. Electrochim. Acta 1967, 12, 629-646.
- (78) Savéant, J. M.; Vianello, E. *Electrochim. Acta* **1965**, *10*, 905–920. (79) Wilson, A. D.; Fraze, K.; Twamley, B.; Miller, S. M.; DuBois, D.
- L.; Rakowski DuBois, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 130, 1061–1068. (80) O'Hagan, M. J.; Ho, M.-H.; Yang, J. Y.; Appel, A. M.; Rakowski
- DuBois, M.; Raugei, S.; Shaw, W. J.; DuBois, D. L.; Bullock, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19409-19424.
- (81) Brammer, L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2003, 3145-3157.
- (82) Brammer, L.; Mareque Rivas, J. C.; Spilling, C. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 609, 36-43.
- (83) Cecconi, F.; Ghilardi, C. A.; Innocenti, P.; Mealli, C.; Midollini, S.; Orlandini, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, 23, 922–929.
- (84) Epstein, L. M.; Shubina, E. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2002, 231, 165-181.
- (85) Shubina, E. S.; Belkova, N. V.; Epstein, L. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 536–537, 17–29.
- (86) Gagné, R. R.; Koval, C. A.; Lisensky, G. C. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2854-2855.
- (87) Gritzner, G.; Kuta, J. Pure Appl. Chem. 1984, 56, 461-466.
- (88) Appel, A. M.; DuBois, D. L.; DuBois, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12717-12726.
- (89) Rodima, T.; Kaljurand, I.; Pihl, A.; Maemets, V.; Leito, I.; Koppel, I. A. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 1873–1881.